

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.]	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	. CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/648,016	08/25/2000		John P. Wesson	60.469-021	6134
	26584	7590	12/10/2001			
			COMPANY	EXAMINER		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 10 FARM SPRINGS				TRAN, TH	UY VAN
	FARMINGTON, CT		.1 00032		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3652	
					DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 09/648,016

Applicant(s)

Wesson et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Thuy V. Tran

3652

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address				
Period f	for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM							
	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl	FR 1 136 (a). In no event, however, i	may a reply be timely filed				
aft	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic	ation.					
be	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.						
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the maximum statutory period for the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply is specified above.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6	6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
- Any r	e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the	statute, cause the application to bec mailing date of this communication,	ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). even if timely filed, may reduce any				
eai Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<u> </u>				
_	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This act						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>	is/are	e pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>		is/are rejected.				
7) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.				
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b)□ disapproved.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).				
a) 🗀	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:						
•	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage				
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		(e).				
Attachm	entel						
Attachm 15) ☑ No	erritis/ otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	· No(s).				
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:					

Application Number: 09/648,016

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

> The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "the third portion has a second roller supporting surface that is different from the first surface", found in claim 4, lines 3-4 and in claim 11, lines 3-4, respectively, renders the claims indefinite since it is unclear what the different between "a first roller supporting surface" and "a second roller supporting surface". As best understood from the disclosure, the first and second roller supporting surfaces are the same, but formed from different materials. Thus, the first and second roller supporting surfaces have different frictional characteristics.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 3. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application Number: 09/648,016

Art Unit: 3652

4. Claims 1-4, and 9-11 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sherwood 4,355,486.

Sherwood '486 discloses an elevator door assembly comprising a door frame 70, Fig. 2, a door frame support member 28, a roller 30 associated with the support member, a rail 22, and a resilient track 24 received by a supporting surface on the rail, wherein the roller is rollable along the track.

With regard to claims 3, 4, 9 and 10 (as broadly claimed), the track 22 in Figure 1 comprises three portions, a left portion, a right portion and a middle portion, wherein the left and right portions have a first roller supporting surface, and the middle portion has a second roller supporting surface.

5. Claims 1-7 and 9-12 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spiess 5,655,626.

Spiess '626 discloses an elevator door assembly comprising a door frame 56, a door frame support 57, at least one roller 1, 50 associated with the support member, a rail 11, a resilient track 3, 45.

Re claim 2, the track 3, Figure 1, extends along the entire length of the rail 11.

Re claims 5, 6 and 12, the track 3 includes a first portion 26 and a second portion 26, Figure 2C, at the ends of the track respectively, and a third portion (middle portion) where the first and third portions are different materials.

. Application Number: 09/648,016

Art Unit: 3652

Re claim 7, the rail supporting surface includes a groove 42, Figure 4, and the track 45 includes a portion 47 that is removeably received within the groove.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spiess 5,655,626 or Sherwood 4,355,486.

Spiess '626 discloses that the resilient track can be formed from an elastic material.

Sherwood '486 discloses a resilient track.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have formed the resilient track of either Spiess or Sherwood from one of polyurethane, a polyester elastomer, a flourelastomer, vulcanized rubber or a spray-on material, since applicant has not disclosed that having the track comprised one of polyurethane, a polyester elastomer, a flourelastomer, vulcanized rubber or a spray-on material solves any stated problem and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any elastic materials.

Application Number: 09/648,016 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Each of the cited references separately discloses either an elevator door assembly and/or a resilient guide track.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy v. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-2558.

DEAN J. KRAMER

TVT (TVT)

December 3, 2001